arXiv:1510.03915v1 [hep-th] 13 Oct 2015

Kaluza-Klein Aspects of Noncommutative Geometry*

J. Madore

Laboratoire de Physique Théorique et Hautes Energies †

Université de Paris-Sud, Bât. 210, F-91405 ORSAY

Abstract

Using some elementary methods from noncommutative geometry a structure is given to a point of space-time which is different from and simpler than that which would come from extra dimensions. The structure is described by a supplementary factor in the algebra which in noncommutative geometry replaces the algebra of functions. Using different examples of algebras it is shown that the extra structure can be used to describe spin or isospin.

*Published in *Differential Geometric Methods in Theoretical Physics*, A. I. Solomon, ed., pp. 243–252. World Scientific Publishing, 1989. Chester, August 1988.

†Laboratoire associé au CNRS

1 Introduction

Implicit in the formalism of a Kaluza-Klein version of unified field theory is the hypothesis that what looks like a point in a macroscopic description of space has in fact a richer structure at sufficiently small length scales. The original attempt consisted in replacing a point by a small circle by adding a fourth compactified dimension to the three we already know. In this way it was possible to unify gravity and electromagnetism as a theory of graviation in the resulting space-time of five dimensions. Subsequent work replaced the circle by a more general manifold as a more complicated structure was necessary to describe the extra gauge bosons which had been discovered and to unify them with the gravitational field. We shall modify here the original idea even more by supposing that the additional structure which a point acquires at microscopic length scales is not that of a differential manifold but is one which can only be described by generalizing the notion of a manifold to include noncommutative geometry. The models we shall consider however are in a sense which we shall make precise later even simpler than the original model of Kaluza and Klein. The supplementary structure which we shall use is algebraic in nature. It is too simple to give a phenomenologically correct description of either the electro-weak or the strong interactions but it allows us to change Maxwell's theory into an interesting gauge theory which can be unified as usual with the gravitational theory to yield a unified theory of Yang-Mills fields with gravity.

We recall the definition of the manifold on which Kaluza-Klein theory is usually based. Locally it is of the form

$$\begin{array}{c} \underline{\mathrm{R}}^4 \times F \\ \downarrow \\ \underline{\mathrm{R}}^4 \end{array} \tag{1.1}$$

where F is a manifold. We have therefore the imbedding

$$0 \to \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C} \otimes \mathcal{C}(F) \tag{1.2}$$

where $\mathcal{C}$ designates an algebra of complex-valued functions, large enough to separate points. We have set $\mathcal{C}(\underline{\mathrm{R}}^4) = \mathcal{C}$ and we have supposed that the algebra of a product manifold can be identified with the product of the algebras of the individual factors. Kaluza-Klein theory in the usual sense can be described equally well by referring to (1.1) or to (1.2). The internal structure is described by the manifold F or by the algebra of functions $\mathcal{C}(F)$.

We can now generalize Kaluza-Klein theory by replacing $\mathcal{C}(F)$ by an associative algebra which is not necessarily commutative. We can then no longer refer to the diagram (1.1) as there is no internal manifold F. We shall choose as algebra one of the matrix algebras M_n of complex $n \times n$

matrices. These choices have several advantages the most important being related to the fact that the space of derivations of a matrix algebra is of finite dimension. It follows in particular that the internal laplacian has a finite spectrum and that the total momentum space remains of dimension 4. This is important for the renormalizability of the theory.

The noncommutative factor is the origin of the extra structure which a point acquires. The elements of the resulting algebra $\mathcal{A}$ are what replace the functions on R^4. In particular the nearest object which we have to a coordinate is an element of this algebra. This means that the position of a particle, for example, no longer has a well-defined meaning. Since we certainly wish this to be so at macroscopic scales, we must require that the scale κ at which $\mathcal{A}$ differs from $\mathcal{C}$ be not much greater than a typical Compton wave length. In other words, the fuzziness which the internal structure gives a point in space-time cannot be much greater than the quantum uncertainty in the position of a particle. We shall suppose that the Lorentz group acts on $\mathcal{A}$. This means that directions are well-defined. Our space-time looks like a crystal then which has a homogeneous distribution of dislocations but no disclinations. We can pursue this solid-state analogy and think of the ordinary Minkowski coordinates as macroscopic order parameters obtained by course-graining over scales less than κ. They break down and must be replaced by elements of the algebra $\mathcal{A}$ when one considers phenomena on these scales. The models which we propose can be considered as a classical phenomenological description of an additional microscopic structure. They do not rule out the possibility that the different mass scales which one observes in nature are of quantum origin since we do not rule out the possibility that the extra structure which we shall use is due to quantum effects.

The extra structure which a point acquires at length scales less than the length scale κ could be considered as the origin of the spin of a particle. This possibility is explored in Section 3. See also [3, 7]. It could also be identified with the origin of isospin. This possibility is explored in Section 4. See also [4, 5, 6]. In Section 5. we describe briefly the noncommutative version of electromagnatism as an application of the formalism introduced in Section 4. We refer to [1] for a general introduction to noncommutative geometry and for references to the previous literature. We refer to [2, 3, 4, 5, 6] for more details on the particular models which we shall consider here.

2 Mathematical Preliminaries

Differential geometry was developed to study the structure of manifolds and of the algebras of functions which are defined on them. Noncommutative geometry was invented to extend this study to associated algebras in general. The matrix algebras we shall use are simple and there is no need to intro-

duce geometric methods to study them except perhaps as examples. The geometry of matrices has however as we shall see an interesting application in describing the extra physical structure we mentioned in the preceeding section.

A manifold is completely determined by the algebra of functions defined on it. All of the geometrical quantities one uses to describe classical physics such as vector fields, differential forms, metrics and connections can be reformulated as operations involving only this algebra. One can therefore develop a noncommutative version of classical physics simply by replacing the quantities in the commutative case which are used to describe the theory by the corresponding operations on a general associative algebra $\mathcal{A}$. For example a scalar field becomes an element of an abstract algebra which is no longer an algebra of functions. The scalar field then is no longer a function on space-time. If $\mathcal{A}$ is commutative then under certain very general conditions, it can be shown to be an algebra of functions. If $\mathcal{A}$ is too abstract or too different from the ordinary algebra of functions on space-time, it is impossible to interpret its elements in terms of classical observables. As a compromise between these two extremes, and for the reasons which were given above, we shall use here as algebra the tensor product of the algebra $\mathcal{C}$ of smooth complex-valued functions on space-time and the algebra M_n of complex $n \times n$ matrices:

$$\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{C} \otimes M_n. \tag{2.1}$$

The Lie algebra $D(\mathcal{C})$ of smooth vector fields on the manifold $\underline{\mathrm{R}}^4$ can be identified with the algebra of derivations of $\mathcal{C}$, that is, with the algebra of linear maps of $\mathcal{C}$ into itself which satisfy the Leibnitz rule. This algebra is the most important mathematical object which one uses when one studies classical fields on $\underline{\mathrm{R}}^4$ and their dynamics. To study these fields then in the noncommutative case we must consider the derivations $D(\mathcal{A})$ of the algebra $\mathcal{A}$. This Lie algebra is the direct sum of the ordinary derivations of $\mathcal{C}$ and the $\mathcal{C}$-module generated by the inner derivations of M_n. A problem which arises which was not present in the commutative case is the fact that the derivations no longer form a module over the algebra $\mathcal{A}$. A derivation when multiplied by an element of $\mathcal{A}$ is no longer a derivation. This is in sharp contrast to the normal situation where a vector field multiplied by a function remains a vector field. We avoid this problem as much as possible by working with the generalizations of differential forms, which are dual to the derivations.

Let λ_a, for $1 \leq a \leq n^2 - 1$, be a basis of the Lie algebra of the special unitary group in n dimensions, chosen so that the structure constants $C^a{}_{bc}$ are real. The Killing metric is given by $g_{ab} = -Tr(\lambda_a \lambda_b)$. We shall raise and lower indices with this metric. The set λ_a is a set of generators of the matrix algebra M_n. It is not a minimal set but it is convenient because of the fact that the derivations $e_a = \kappa^{-1} ad(\lambda_a)$ form a basis over the complex

numbers of the derivations of M_n. They satisfy the commutation relations

$$[e_a, e_b] = m\, C^c{}_{ab}\, e_c. \tag{2.2}$$

The mass scale m is defined to be the inverse of the length scale κ. Let x^μ be coordinates of $\underline{\mathrm{R}}^4$. Then the set (x^μ, λ^a) is a set of generators of the algebra $\mathcal{A}$. We define the exterior derivative of an element of $\mathcal{A}$ as usual. For example, if f is an element of M_n, then df is defined [2] by the formula

$$df(e_a) = e_a(f). \tag{2.3}$$

Because of the particular structure of M_n [4] there is a system of generators of $\Omega^1(M_n)$, the 1-forms on M_n, completely characterized by the equations

$$\theta^a(e_b) = \delta^a_b. \tag{2.4}$$

It is related to $d\lambda^a$ by the equations

$$d\lambda^a = m\, C^a{}_{bc}\, \lambda^b \theta^c, \qquad \theta^a = \kappa\, \lambda_b \lambda^a d\lambda^b. \tag{2.5}$$

From the θ^a we can construct a 1-form θ in $\Omega^1(M_n)$,

$$\theta = -m\lambda_a \theta^a, \tag{2.6}$$

which from (2.5) satisfies the zero-curvature condition:

$$d\theta + \theta^2 = 0. \tag{2.7}$$

We shall see below in Section 3 that θ is gauge invariant. It satisfies with respect to the algebraic exterior derivative (2.3) similar conditions to those which the Maurer-Cartan form satisfies with respect to ordinary exterior derivation on the group SU_n. Choose a basis $\theta^\alpha_\lambda dx^\lambda$ of $\Omega^1(\mathcal{C})$ over $\mathcal{C}$ and let e_α be the pfaffian derivations dual to θ^α. Set $i = (\alpha, a)$, $1 \le i \le 4 + n^2 - 1$, and introduce $\theta^i = (\theta^\alpha, \theta^a)$ as generators of $\Omega^1(\mathcal{A})$ as a left or right $\mathcal{A}$-module and $e_i = (e_\alpha, e_a)$ as a basis of $D(\mathcal{A})$ over $\mathcal{C}$.

We shall introduce the quadratic form, of signature $d - 2$, given by

$$ds^2 = \eta_{ij}\theta^i \otimes \theta^j = \eta_{\alpha\beta}\theta^\alpha \otimes \theta^\beta + g_{ab}\theta^a \otimes \theta^b. \tag{2.8}$$

The $\eta_{\alpha\beta}$ is the Minkowski metric. We shall refer to this quadratic form as a metric although it contains two terms of a slightly different nature.

3 Spin

Consider $\underline{\mathrm{R}}^3$ with euclidean coordinates x^a. To the x^a we associate operators q^a, self-adjoint elements of an algebra $\mathcal{A}$ which do not necessarily commute:

$$q^{a*} = q^a, \qquad q^a q^b \neq q^b q^a, \tag{3.1}$$

such that $\mathcal{A}$ is generated by them. We shall suppose that the euclidean group acts on $\mathcal{A}$ by automorphisms induced by

$$q^a \mapsto R^a_b q^b + a^b. \tag{3.2}$$

It follows that the commutator $[q^a, q^b]$ is invariant under space translations. We suppose further that each q^a has a unique decomposition

$$q^a = x^a + \kappa\sigma^a \tag{3.3}$$

as the sum of two elements the first of which belongs to the center of $\mathcal{A}$ and the second is invariant under the action of the translations. When the length scale κ tends to zero the q^a tend to the ordinary euclidean coordinates we started with. If we choose σ^a to be the Pauli matrices the algebra $\mathcal{A}$ splits as a tensor product of an algebra of functions times the algebra of 2×2 matrices M_2. The extra factor is in some respects like an internal space in a Kaluza-Klein theory.

There is an obvious generalization of the Ansatz (3.3) to a Poincaré invariant algebra with the generators

$$q^\alpha = x^\alpha + \kappa\gamma^\alpha. \tag{3.4}$$

The Dirac matrices γ^α are however not self-adjoint and the above defined q^α cannot all have real eigenvalues. We are naturally led to introduce an operator-valued Dirac spinor z and consider the algebra $\mathcal{A}$ generated by

$$q^\alpha = x^\alpha + \kappa J^\alpha, \qquad J^\alpha = \bar{z}\gamma^\alpha z. \tag{3.5}$$

We shall impose on z the following commutation relations

$$[z, z] = 0, \quad [z, \bar{z}] = 1, \quad [\bar{z}, \bar{z}] = 0. \tag{3.6}$$

The generators q^α do not commute then and we have

$$[q^\alpha, q^\beta] = -2i\kappa^2 S^{\alpha\beta} \tag{3.7}$$

where we have set

$$S^{\alpha\beta} = \bar{z}\sigma^{\alpha\beta} z, \qquad \sigma^{\alpha\beta} = \tfrac{1}{2} i[\gamma^\alpha, \gamma^\beta]. \tag{3.8}$$

The elements $(\gamma^\alpha, \sigma^{\alpha\beta})$ of the Clifford algebra generate the Lie algebra of $SO(3,2)$. So $SO(3,2)$ acts on $\mathcal{A}$. The conformal group would act also on the extension $\mathcal{A}^c$ of $\mathcal{A}$ obtained by adding the generator $\bar{z}\gamma^5 z$. The set of derivations $D(\mathcal{A})$ of $\mathcal{A}$ is a module over the center of $\mathcal{A}$ generated by

$$(\partial_\alpha,\ \tfrac{1}{2} i\text{ad } J^\alpha,\ \tfrac{1}{2} i\text{ad } S^{\alpha\beta}). \tag{3.9}$$

Although the $(J^\alpha, S^{\alpha\beta})$ satisfy the commutation relations of the Lie algebra of $SO(3,2)$, they generate in fact an infinite-dimensional algebra as do the x^α . This means there is an internal structure more complicated than that which followed from the non-relativistic Ansatz given above and also from the Ansatz used in the following sections.

Define the algebras $\mathcal{I}$ and $\mathcal{J}$ generated respectively by $(z, \bar{z})$ and by $(J^\alpha, S^{\alpha\beta})$. We are interested in $\mathcal{J}$ which is a subalgebra of $\mathcal{A}$, and of $\mathcal{I}$. We start then by studying $\mathcal{I}$ which we can consider as the quantized version of an algebra of functions over the classical phase space $(z, \bar{z})$ with bracket

$$\{z, \bar{z}\} = i. \tag{3.10}$$

There are therefore in principle two distinct quantization procedures, the ordinary one involving $\hbar$ and this new one. Under complete dequantization $\mathcal{A}$ becomes a commutative algebra with a Poisson bracket on $\mathcal{J}$ induced by (3.10). To the $(x^\alpha, z, \bar{z})$ given above we add p_α to form a phase space and we extend the bracket (3.10). The resulting phase space is related to one which has been studied in detail by Souriau [8] and which can be conveniently used to describe a classical spinning particle.

4 Isospin

In the commutative case a connection ω on the trivial principal U_1-bundle equipped with the associated canonical flat connection is an anti-hermitian 1-form which can be split as the sum of a horizontal part, a 1-form on the base manifold, and a vertical part, the Maurer-Cartan form $d\alpha$ on U_1,

$$\omega = A + d\alpha. \tag{4.1}$$

The gauge potential A is an element of $\Omega^1(\mathcal{C})$ and using it we can construct a covariant derivative on an associated vector bundle. The notion of a vector bundle can be generalized to the noncommutative case as an $\mathcal{A}$-module which in its simplest form, a free module of rank 1, can be identified with $\mathcal{A}$ itself. This is in fact the natural generalization to the algebra we are considering of a trivial U_1-bundle since M_n has replaced $\mathbb{C}$ in our models. So the U_n gauge symmetry we shall use below comes not from the rank of the vector bundle, which we shall always choose to be equal to 1, but rather from the factor M_n in our algebra $\mathcal{A}$. The noncommutative generalization of A is an anti-hermitian element of $\Omega^1(\mathcal{A})$, which in turn can be split as the sum of two parts, called also horizontal and vertical. We shall here designate by ω such an element of $\Omega^1(\mathcal{A})$ since we wish to reserve the letter A and the name gauge potential for the horizontal part in this latter sense. We write then

$$\omega = A + \theta + \phi, \tag{4.2}$$

where A is an element of Ω^1_H and ϕ is an element of Ω^1_V. The field ϕ is the Higgs field. We have here separated out the 1-form θ which is in many respects like a Maurer-Cartan form. Formula (4.2) with $\phi = 0$ and formula (ref4.1) are formally similar but the meaning of the words horizontal and vertical in the two cases is not the same.

Let $\mathcal{G}$ be the group of invertible elements of $\mathcal{A}$, considered as functions on $\mathbb{R}^4$ with values in GL_n and g an element of $\mathcal{G}$. Let $\mathcal{U}_n$ be the subgroup of $\mathcal{G}$ of elements which satisfy $gg^* = 1$. We shall choose it to be the group of local gauge transformations.

A gauge transformation defines a mapping of $\Omega^1(\mathcal{A})$ into itself of the form

$$\omega' = g^{-1}\omega g + g^{-1}dg. \tag{4.3}$$

We require that ϕ transform under the adjoint action of $\mathcal{U}_n$:

$$\phi' = g^{-1}\phi g. \tag{4.4}$$

It can be seen then that θ is invariant under $\mathcal{G}$,

$$\theta' = \theta, \tag{4.5}$$

and so the transformed potential ω' is again of the form (4.2).

We define the curvature 2-form Ω and the field strength F as usual. In terms of components, with $\phi = \phi_a\theta^a$ and $A = A_\alpha\theta^\alpha$ and with

$$\Omega = \tfrac{1}{2}\Omega_{ij}\theta^i \wedge \theta^j, \quad F = \tfrac{1}{2}F_{\alpha\beta}\theta^\alpha \wedge \theta^\beta, \tag{4.6}$$

we find

$$\Omega_{\alpha\beta} = F_{\alpha\beta}, \quad \Omega_{\alpha a} = D_\alpha\phi_a, \quad \Omega_{ab} = [\phi_a, \phi_b] - m\, C^c{}_{ab}\, \phi_c. \tag{4.7}$$

We wish to use ω and a linear connection on $\Omega^1(\mathcal{C})$ to construct a linear connection on $\Omega^1(\mathcal{A})$. To simplify the calculations we shall here suppose also that

$$Tr(\omega_a) = 0, \qquad Tr(A_\alpha) = 0, \tag{4.8}$$

and that $g \in \mathcal{SU}_n$, the local SU_n gauge transformations.

First we restrict our considerations to that special class of connections whose vertical component ω_V, in Ω^1_V, is equal to the canonical 1-form θ:

$$\omega_V = \theta. \tag{4.9}$$

The curvature of the connection ω is constructed using the exterior derivative d which acts on the coefficients ω^a as well as on the basis λ_a. We wish to introduce an effective exterior derivative which acts only on ω^a but which includes the action of d on λ_a. Set

$$(\tilde{d}\omega^a)\lambda_a = d(\omega^a\lambda_a). \tag{4.10}$$

This can be rewritten as

$$\tilde{d}\omega^a = d\omega^a + m\, C^a{}_{bc}\, \omega^b \wedge \theta^c. \tag{4.11}$$

Define $\tilde{\theta}^a$ by the equation

$$\omega = -m\lambda_a \tilde{\theta}^a \tag{4.12}$$

and set

$$\tilde{\theta}^i = (\theta^\alpha, \tilde{\theta}^a). \tag{4.13}$$

Let $\omega^\alpha{}_\beta$ now be a linear connection on $\Omega^1(\mathcal{C})$, an $so(3,1)$-valued 1-form satisfying the structure equations

$$d\theta^\alpha + \omega^\alpha{}_\beta \wedge \theta^\beta = 0, \tag{4.14}$$

$$d\omega^\alpha{}_\beta + \omega^\alpha{}_\gamma \wedge \omega^\gamma{}_\beta = \Omega^\alpha{}_\beta. \tag{4.15}$$

We must construct an $so(n^2+2,1)$-valued 1-form $\tilde{\omega}^i{}_j$ on $\Omega^1(\mathcal{A})$ satisfying the first structure equation

$$\tilde{d}\tilde{\theta}^i + \tilde{\omega}^i{}_j \wedge \tilde{\theta}^j = 0. \tag{4.16}$$

This construction is as usual. One can see that the equations (4.16) are satisfied if $\tilde{\omega}^i{}_j$ is given by the equations

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\omega}^\alpha{}_\beta &= \omega^\alpha{}_\beta - \tfrac{1}{2}\kappa^2 F_a{}^\alpha{}_\beta\, \omega^a, \\
\tilde{\omega}^a{}_b &= -\tfrac{1}{2} C^a{}_{bc}\, \omega^c, \\
\tilde{\omega}^a{}_\alpha &= -\tfrac{1}{2}\kappa F^a{}_{\alpha\beta}\, \theta^\beta, \\
\tilde{\omega}^\alpha{}_a &= \tfrac{1}{2}\kappa F_a{}^\alpha{}_\beta\, \theta^\beta.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.17}$$

Consider next a general $\mathcal{SU}_n$ connection. Equation (4.16) becomes

$$\tilde{d}\tilde{\theta}^i + \tilde{\omega}^i{}_j \wedge \tilde{\theta}^j = \tilde{\Theta}^i. \tag{4.18}$$

with a non-vanishing torsion form given by

$$\tilde{\Theta}^\alpha = 0, \qquad \lambda_a \tilde{\Theta}^a = -\kappa(D\phi - \phi^2). \tag{4.19}$$

The last step in the Kaluza-Klein construction is to consider the second structure equations

$$\tilde{d}\tilde{\omega}^i{}_j + \tilde{\omega}^i{}_k \wedge \tilde{\omega}^k{}_j = \tilde{\Omega}^i{}_j, \tag{4.20}$$

and the equations of motion which follow from a suitable action. We shall not discuss this here.

5 A Model

As action it is natural to choose the most general expression, polynomial in the Riemann tensor, which would yield second-order field equations. This yields in the absence of gravitation the bosonic action

$$S = \tfrac{1}{4} Tr \int (\Omega_{ij} \Omega^{ij}). \tag{5.1}$$

The integration is over space-time and the trace is what replaces this integration on the factor M_n of $\mathcal{A}$. This is the simplest gauge action which can be written down in the geometries which we consider. We have put the Yang-Mills coupling constant equal to one. Written out explicitly the lagrangian becomes

$$\mathcal{L} = \tfrac{1}{4} Tr(F_{\alpha\beta} F^{\alpha\beta}) + \tfrac{1}{2} Tr(D_\alpha \phi_a D^\alpha \phi^a) - V(\phi), \tag{5.2}$$

where the potential $V(\phi)$ is given by

$$V(\phi) = -\tfrac{1}{4} Tr(\Omega_{ab} \Omega^{ab}). \tag{5.3}$$

It is a quartic polynomial in ϕ which is fixed and has no free parameters apart from the mass scale m.

From (4.7) we see that $V(\phi)$ vanishes for the values

$$\phi_a = 0, \qquad \phi_a = m\, \lambda_a. \tag{5.4}$$

There are therefore 2 stable phases. We shall consider first the symmetric phase, $\phi_a = 0$ and then the broken phase, $\phi_a = m\, \lambda_a$. In the symmetric phase the masses of all the scalar modes are equal and they are real since the corresponding value of the potential is a stable minimum. Using the expression for Ω_{ab}, we find that the mass is given by

$$m_H^2 = n m^2. \tag{5.5}$$

The gauge bosons of course have vanishing mass in the symmetric phase. In the broken phase, the masses of the gauge bosons is given therefore by the equation

$$m_A^2 = 2 n m^2. \tag{5.6}$$

There is a certain ambiguity in the definition of a spinor and the associated Weyl operator. It is possible to treat the basis (2.4) of $\Omega^1(M_n)$ as though it were a moving frame on a manifold of dimension $n^2 - 1$. Using the metric which we introduced in Section 2, one can then construct spinors and Dirac matrices in dimension $4 + n^2 - 1$ and introduce a Weyl operator in exactly the same way which one does in dimension 4. We shall suppose

here that this has been done. Using the gauge lagrangian from the previous section we can write the lagrangian for noncommutative electrodynamics as

$$\mathcal{L} = \tfrac{1}{4} Tr(\Omega_{ij}\Omega^{ij}) + Tr(\bar{\psi}\not{D}\psi). \tag{5.7}$$

The interaction of the spinors with the gauge bosons is given by the term

$$\mathcal{L}_I = Tr(\bar{\psi}\gamma^{\alpha} A_{\alpha}\psi). \tag{5.8}$$

So we see then that in the broken phase the zero-mass gauge boson is coupled to all fermions including the one which has zero mass.

The above lagrangian (5.7) is a generalization of the Yang-Mills-Higgs-Kibble lagrangian, with a more elaborate Higgs sector. Since we have replaced complex-valued functions by functions with values in the matrix algebra M_n it is to be expected that each ϕ_a takes its values in M_n. The most original part is the potental term $V(\phi)$ which comes from the curvature of the vertical part of the connection. Consider the case $n = 2$ and compare the above model with that of the standard model for the electroweak interactions. There is no analogue of the Weinberg angle. The massive gauge bosons are neutral and they have all equal masses. In the case $n = 3$ we would have a model for gluons plus a spurious U_1 abelian gauge potential provided we suppose that we are in the symmetric phase. The major difference with the standard model for the strong interactions lies in the fact that here the symmetric phase is a stable phase. The Higgs bosons are coloured and would not appear as asymptotic states.

This work was done in collaboration with Michel Dubois-Violette and Richard Kerner.

References

[1] J. Dixmier, Les C*-algèbres et leurs représentations, Gauthier-Villard (1964), A. Connes, Publications of the I.H.E.S., **62** (1986) 257.

[2] M. Dubois-Violette, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, **307**, Série I (1988) 403.

[3] J. Madore, "Non-commutative Geometry and the Spinning Particle", Lecture given at the Journées Relativisites, Geneva, April 1988 and at the XI Warsaw Symposium on Elementary Particle Physics, Kazimierz, May 1988.

[4] M. Dubois-Violette, R. Kerner, J. Madore, "Non-Commutative Differential Geometry of Matrix Algebras", Orsay preprint, October 1988 (to appear).

[5] M. Dubois-Violette, R. Kerner, J. Madore, "Classical Bosons in a Noncommutative Geometry" Orsay preprint, November 1988 (to appear).

[6] M. Dubois-Violette, R. Kerner, J. Madore, "Non-Commutative Differential Geometry and New Models of Gauge Theory" Orsay preprint, November 1988 (to appear).

[7] H. Bacry, "The Notions of Localizability and Space: From Eugene Wigner to Alain Connes", Talk given at the International Symposium on Space- Time Symmetries, Univ. of Maryland, May 1988.

[8] J.-M. Souriau, "Structures des Systèmes Dynamiques", Dunod, Paris (1969). See also C. Duval, "The Spin Polarizer" in Diff. Geom. and Math. Phys., M. Cahen et al. eds. Reidel (1983);